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## Contributions

－Implicit bias gradient flow of the linear tensor networks．
－Consider two cases（separable classification／undeterminded regression）
－Subsume existing results without removing standard convergence assumptions．

ㅌ $\quad$ のの

## Contributions

- Linear tensor networks / classfication
$\rightarrow$ Singular vectors of a tensor defined by the network.
- Orthogonally decomposable linear network / classification
$\rightarrow$ A solution of minimizing $\ell_{2 / L}$ max-margin problem in a "transformed" input space defined by the network.
- Orthogonally decomposable linear network / regression
$\rightarrow$ A solution of minimizing norm-like functions that interpolates between weighted $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ in a "transformed" input space.


## Contributions; in a separable classification

- (Thm 1), A linear tensor networks.
- (Cor 1) A L-layer linear fully-connected network
- (Thm 2), A orthogonally decomposable linear network
- (Cor 2), A $L$-layer linear diagonal network.
- (Cor 3), A L-layer linear full-length convolution network.
- (Thm 3), A 2-layer linear network with a single data point ( $x, y$ )
- (Cor 4), A 2 - layer linear convolutional network with a single data point ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ )


## Contributions；in a undertermined regression

－（Thm 5），A orthogonally decomposable linear network
－（Cor 5），A $L$－layer linear diagonal network．
－（Cor 6），A $L$－layer linear full－length convolution network．
－（Thm 6），A 2 －layer linear network with a single data point（ $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ）．

## Problem settings

- $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$
- $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- For binary classification,
- $y_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$
- Data is separable
- Exponential loss, $\ell(\hat{y}, y)=\exp (-\hat{y} y)$
- For regression
- Undetermined case ( $n \geq d$ )
- Squared error loss, $\ell(\hat{y}, y)=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{y}-y)^{2}$


## Tensor networks

- A linear map M that maps $x$ to an order- $L$ tensor $\mathrm{M}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times \cdots \times k_{L}}$, where $L \geq 2$.
- A tensor network with parameters $v_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{l}}$ and activation $\phi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\phi\left(\mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{x}) \circ\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{I}_{k_{\mathbf{2}}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{I}_{k_{L}}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\mathbf{2}} \times \cdots \times k_{L}} \\
\mathrm{H}_{l}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\phi\left(\mathrm{H}_{l-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \circ\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{l}, \boldsymbol{I}_{k_{l+1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{I}_{k_{L}}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{l+1} \times \ldots, k_{L}}, \text { for } I=2, \ldots, L-1 \\
f(x ; \Theta) & =\mathrm{H}_{L-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \circ v_{L} \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\circ$ is a multilinear multiplication.

- Use $\Theta$ to denote the collection of all parameters $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L}\right)$ and name $\mathrm{M}(x)$ as a data tensor.


## A multilinear multiplication

- Given a tensor $\mathrm{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times \cdots \times k_{L}}$ and linear maps $B_{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{I} \times k_{l}}$ for $I \in[L]$, the multilinear multiplication $\circ$ between them is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A} \circ\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{T}, \boldsymbol{B}_{2}^{T}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{B}_{L}^{T}\right) & =\sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{L}}[\mathrm{~A}]_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{L}}\left(e_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_{L}}^{k_{L}}\right) \circ\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{B}_{L}^{T}\right) \\
& :=\sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{L}}[\mathrm{~A}]_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{L}}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{1} e_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{B}_{L} e_{j_{L}}^{k_{L}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{1} \times \cdots \times p_{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear tensor networks

- The tensor formulation includes

1. Diagonal networks
2. Convolution networks
3. Fully-connected networks.

- Consider linear tensor networks, which means $\phi(t)=t$.

$$
f(x ; \Theta)=M(x) \circ\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{L}\right)
$$

- The output of the network can also be written as $f(\boldsymbol{x} ; \Theta)=\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}(\Theta)$, where $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$


## Diagonal networks

- An L-layer diagonal network can be written as

$$
f_{\text {diag }}\left(x ; \Theta_{\text {diag }}\right)=\phi\left(\cdots \phi\left(\phi\left(x \odot w_{1}\right) \odot w_{2}\right) \cdots \odot w_{L-1}\right)^{T} w_{L}
$$

where $w_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ for $I \in[L]$.
$\triangleright \mathrm{M}_{\text {diag }}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \cdots \times d}$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {diag }}(x)\right]_{j, j, \ldots, j}=[x]_{j}$, while other components are 0 .

- $v_{l}=w_{l}$ for all I


## Convolutional networks

- The convolutional networks can be written as

$$
f_{\text {conv }}\left(x ; \Theta_{\text {conv }}\right)=\phi\left(\cdots \phi\left(\phi\left(x \star w_{1}\right) \star w_{2}\right) \cdots \star w_{L-1}\right)^{T} w_{L},
$$

where $w_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{l}}$ with $k_{l} \leq d$ and $k_{L}=d$, and $\star$ defines the circular convolution.
$\checkmark a \star b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ defined as $[a \star b]_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}[a]_{(i+j-1) \bmod d}[b]_{j}$, for $i \in[d]$. for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{k}(k \leq d)$
$-\mathrm{M}_{\text {conv }}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times \cdots \times k_{L}}$ as $\left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {conv }}(x)\right]_{j_{\mathbf{1}}, j_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, j_{L}}=[x]_{\left(\sum_{l=\mathbf{1}}^{L} j_{l}-L+1\right) \bmod d}$ for $j_{l} \in\left[k_{l}\right], I \in[L]$.

- $v_{l}=w_{l}$ and $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}_{\text {conv }}$.


## Fully-connected networks

- An L-layer fully-connected network is defined as

$$
f_{\mathrm{fc}}\left(x ; \Theta_{\mathrm{fc}}\right)=\phi\left(\cdots \phi\left(\phi\left(x^{\top} W_{1}\right) W_{2}\right) \cdots W_{L-1}\right) w_{L}
$$

where $W_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{l} \times d_{l+1}}$ for $I \in[L-1]$ (we use $d_{1}=d$ ) and $w_{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{L}}$.

- One can represent $f_{\mathrm{fc}}$ as the tensor form by
- Defining parameters $v_{l}=\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{l}\right)$ for $I \in[L-1]$ and $v_{L}=w_{L}$
- Constructing the tensor $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{fc}}(x)$ by a recursive "block diagonal" manner.


## Singular value decomposition of tensor

- Given an order- $L$ tensor $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times \cdots \times k_{L}}$, we define the singular vectors $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{L}$ and singular value $s$ to be the solution of the following system of equations:

$$
s u_{l}=\mathrm{A} \circ\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l-1}, I_{k_{l}}, u_{l+1}, \ldots, u_{L}\right), \text { for } I \in[L]
$$

- We can characterize the limit direction of parameters after reaching $100 \%$ training accuracy.


## Theorem 1

## Theorem 1

Assume that the gradient flow satisfies $\mathcal{L}\left(\Theta\left(t_{0}\right)\right)<1$ for some $t_{0} \geq 0$ and $X^{\top} r(t)$ converges in direction, say $u^{\infty}:=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{\top} r(t)}{\left\|x^{\top} r(t)\right\|_{2}}$. Then, $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L}$ converge to the singular vectors of $\mathrm{M}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}^{\infty}\right)$. where $\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined as
$[r(t)]_{i}=\ell^{\prime}\left(f\left(x_{i} ; \Theta(t)\right), y_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}-y_{i} \exp \left(-y_{i} f\left(x_{i} ; \Theta(t)\right)\right) & \text { for classification, } \\ f\left(x_{i} ; \Theta(t)\right)-y_{i} & \text { for regression. }\end{cases}$
$-\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}_{l}=-\nabla_{\boldsymbol{v}_{l}} \mathcal{L}(\Theta)=\mathrm{M}\left(-\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{r}\right) \circ\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{l-1}, \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{l}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{l+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{L}\right), \quad \forall I \in[L]$

## Corollary 1

Corollary 1. (cf. Ji \& Telgarsky, 2020)
Consider an $L$-layer linear fully-connected network. If the training loss satisfies $\mathcal{L}\left(\Theta_{\mathrm{fc}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)<1$ for some $t_{0} \geq 0$, then $\beta_{\mathrm{fc}}\left(\Theta_{\mathrm{fc}}(t)\right)$ converges in a direction that aligns with the solution of the following optimization problem

$$
\text { minimize }_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \quad\|z\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text { subject to } \quad y_{i} x_{i}^{T} z \geq 1, \forall i \in[n]
$$

## Theorem 2

- Theorem 1 is not a full characterization of the limit directions, because therare usually multiple solutions that satisfy a condition of singular value and vectors.
- Singular vectors of high order tensors are much less understood than the matrix conuterparts, let alone orthogonal decompositions.
- The following assumptions defines a class of orthogonally decomposable data tensors $\boldsymbol{M}(x)$


## Condition for orthogonally decomposable data tensor.

## Assumption 1

For the data tensor $M(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times \cdots \times k_{L}}$ of a linear tensor network (6), there exist a full column rank matrix $S \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times d}\left(d \leq m \leq \min , k_{l}\right)$ and matrices $U_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{k_{1} \times m}, \ldots, U_{L} \in \mathbb{C}^{k_{L} \times m}$ such that $U_{l}^{H} U_{l}=I_{m}$ for all $I \in[\bar{L}]$, and the data tensor $\mathrm{M}(x)$ can be written as

$$
\mathrm{M}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}[\boldsymbol{S} x]_{j}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\right]_{\cdot, j} \otimes\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{2}\right]_{., j} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{L}\right]_{*, j}\right)
$$

## Theorem 2

## Theorem 2

Suppose a linear tensor network satisfies Assumption 1. If there exists $\lambda>0$ such that the initial directions $\bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{L}$ of the network parameters satisfy $\left|\left[U_{\ell}^{T} \bar{v}_{l}\right]_{j}\right|^{2}-\left|\left[U_{L}^{T} \bar{v}_{L}\right]_{j}\right|^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $I \in[L-1]$ and $j \in[m]$, then $\beta(\Theta(t))$ converges in a direction that aligns with $S^{\top} \rho^{\infty}$, where $\rho^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ denotes a stationary point of the following optimization problem

$$
\text { minimize }_{\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{m}}\|\rho\|_{2 / L} \quad \text { subject to } \quad y_{i} x_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{S}^{\top} \rho \geq 1, \quad \forall i \in[n]
$$

## Theorem 2

- The gradient flow finds sparse $\rho^{\infty}$ that minimizes the $\ell_{2 / L}$ norm in the "singular value space," where the data points $x_{i}$ are transformed into vectors $S x_{i}$ consisting of singular values of $\mathrm{M}\left(x_{i}\right)$.
- Also, the proof of Theorem 2 reveals that in case of $L=2$, the parameters $v_{l}(t)$ in fact converge to the top singular vectors of the data tensor $\mathrm{M}\left(-X^{T} r\right)$;
- Compared to Theorem 1. we have a more complete characterization of "which" singular vectors to converge to.


## Corollary 2

## Corollary 2

Consider an $L$-layer linear diagonal network. If there exists $\lambda>0$ such that the initial directions $\bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{L}$ of the network parameters satisfy $\left[\bar{w}_{l}\right]_{j}^{2}-\left[\bar{w}_{L}\right]_{j}^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $I \in[L-1]$ and $j \in[d]$, then $\beta_{\text {diag }}\left(\Theta_{\text {diag }}(t)\right)$ converges in a direction that aligns with a stationary point $z^{\infty}$ of

$$
\text { minimize }_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\|z\|_{2 / L} \text { subject to } y_{i} x_{i}^{T} z \geq 1, \forall i \in[n]
$$

## Corollary 3 (cf. Gunasekar et al., 2018b)

## Corollary 3

Consider an L-layer linear full-length convolutional network. If there exists $\lambda>0$ such that the initial directions $\bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{L}$ of the network parameters satisfy $\left|\left[\boldsymbol{F} \bar{w}_{\ell}\right]_{j}\right|^{2}-\left|\left[\boldsymbol{F} \bar{w}_{L}\right]_{j}\right|^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $\ell \in[L-1]$ and $j \in[d]$, then $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text {conv }}\left(\Theta_{\text {conv }}(t)\right)$ converges in a direction that aligns with a stationary point $z^{\infty}$ of

$$
\operatorname{minimize}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\|\boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2 / L} \quad \text { subject to } \quad y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} z \geq 1, \forall i \in[n] .
$$

where $\boldsymbol{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ to be the matrix of discrete Fourier transform basis $[F]_{j, k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-1} \cdot 2 \pi(j-1)(k-1)}{d}\right)$.

## Corollary 3

- For full-length convolution networks $\left(k_{1}=\cdots=k_{L}=d\right)$ satisfy

Assumption 1.

- $S=d^{\frac{L-1}{2}}$ and $U_{1}=\cdots=U_{L}=F^{*}$


## Theorem 3

## Theorem 3

Suppose we have a 2-layer linear tensor network and a single data point $(x, y)$.
Consider the compact $\operatorname{SVD~} \mathrm{M}(x)=U_{1} \operatorname{diag}(s) U_{2}^{T}$, where
$\boldsymbol{U}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times m}, \boldsymbol{U}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{2} \times m}$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ for $m \leq \min \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$.
Let $\rho^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a solution of the following optimization problem

$$
\operatorname{minimize}_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \quad\|\rho\|_{1} \quad \text { subject to } \quad y s^{T} \rho \geq 1
$$

Assume that there exists $\lambda>0$ such that the initial directions $\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}$ of the network parameters satisfy $\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{1}\right]_{j}^{2}-\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{2}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{2}\right]_{j}^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $j \in[\mathrm{~m}]$. Then, $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ converge in direction to $\boldsymbol{U}_{1} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}^{\infty}$ and $U_{2} \eta_{2}^{\infty}$, where $\left|\eta_{1}^{\infty}\right|=\left|\eta_{2}^{\infty}\right|=\left|\rho^{\infty}\right|^{\odot 1 / 2}$, and $\operatorname{sign}\left(\eta_{1}^{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{sign}(y) \odot \operatorname{sign}\left(\eta_{2}^{\infty}\right)$.

## Underdetermined regression

- Due to the fact that the parameters diverge to infinity in separable classification problems, so that the initialization becomes unimportant in the limit.
- This is not the case in regression setting.
- $w_{\ell}(0)=\alpha \bar{w}_{\ell}$ for $\ell \in[L-1]$ and $w_{L}(0)=0$.
- To define norm like function, We use the following lemma on a relevant system of ODEs:


## Lemma 4

Consider the system of ODEs, where $p, q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\dot{p}=p^{L-2} q, \quad \dot{q}=p^{L-1}, \quad p(0)=1, \quad q(0)=0
$$

Then, the solutions $p_{L}(t)$ and $q_{L}(t)$ are continuous on their maximal interval of existence of the form $(-c, c) \subset \mathbb{R}$ for some $c \in(0, \infty]$.
Define $h_{L}(t)=p_{L}(t)^{L-1} q_{L}(t)$; then, $h_{L}(t)$ is odd and strictly increasing, satisfying $\lim _{t \uparrow c} h_{L}(t)=\infty$ and $\lim _{t \downarrow-c} h_{L}(t)=-\infty$.

## Theorem 5

## Theorem 5

Suppose a linear tensor network satisfies Assumption 1. Assume further that the matrices $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{L}$ and $S$ from Assumption 1 are all real matrices. For some $\lambda>0$, choose any vector $\bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ satisfying $[\bar{\eta}]_{j}^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $j \in[m]$, and choose initial directions $\bar{v}_{\ell}=U_{\ell} \bar{\eta}$ for $\ell \in[L-1]$ and $\bar{v}_{L}=0$. Then, the linear coefficients $\beta(\Theta(t))$ converge to $S^{\top} \rho^{\infty}$, where $\rho^{\infty}$ is the solution of minimize $_{\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \quad Q_{L, \alpha, \bar{\eta}}(\rho):=\alpha^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m}[\bar{\eta}]_{j}^{2} H_{L}\left(\frac{[\rho]_{j}}{\alpha L\left|\bar{\eta}_{j}\right|^{L}}\right) \quad$ subject to $\quad X \boldsymbol{S}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\rho}=\boldsymbol{y}$
where $Q_{L, \alpha, \bar{\eta}}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a norm-like function defined using $H_{L}(t):=\int_{0}^{t} h_{L}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau$.

## Theorem 5

- $Q_{L, \alpha, \bar{\eta}}(\rho):=\alpha^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m}[\bar{\eta}]_{j}^{2} H_{L}\left(\frac{[\rho]_{j}}{\alpha L\left|\bar{\eta}_{j}\right|^{L}}\right)$
- $Q_{L, \alpha, \bar{\eta}}(\rho)$ interpolates between the weighted $\ell_{1}$ and weighted $\ell_{2}$ norm of $\rho$
- $H_{L}(t)$ :
- grows like the absolute value function if $t$ is large.
- grows like a quadratic function if $t$ is close to zero.


## Corollary 5 (cf. Woodworth et al., 2018b)

## Corollary 5

Consider an $L$-layer linear diagonal network. For some $\lambda>0$, choose any vector $\bar{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfying $[\bar{w}]_{j}^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $j \in[d]$, and choose initial directions $\bar{w}_{I}=\bar{w}$ for $I \in[L-1]$ and $\bar{w}_{L}=0$. Then, the linear coefficients $\beta_{\text {diag }}\left(\Theta_{\text {diag }}(t)\right)$ converge to the solution $z^{\infty}$ of $\operatorname{minimize}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \quad Q_{L, \alpha, \bar{w}}(z):=\alpha^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d}[\bar{w}]_{j}^{2} H_{L}\left(\frac{[z]_{j}}{\alpha^{L}\left|[w]_{j}\right|^{2}}\right)$ subject to $\boldsymbol{X} z=y$

## Corollary 6

## Corollary 6

Consider an L-layer linear full-length convolutional network. Assume that the data points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ are all even. For some $\lambda>0$, choose any even vector $\bar{w}$ satisfying $[F \bar{w}]_{j}^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $j \in[d]$, and choose initial directions $\bar{w}_{l}=\bar{w}$ for $I \in[L-1]$ and $\bar{w}_{L}=0$. Then, the linear coefficients $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text {conv }}\left(\Theta_{\text {conv }}(t)\right)$ converge to the solution $z^{\infty}$ of
$\underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \text { even }}{\operatorname{minimize}} Q_{L, \alpha, \boldsymbol{F} \bar{w}}(\boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{z}):=\alpha^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d}[\boldsymbol{F} \overline{\boldsymbol{w}}]_{j}^{2} H_{L}\left(\frac{[\boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{z}]_{j}}{\alpha^{L}\left|[\boldsymbol{F} \overline{\boldsymbol{w}}]_{j}\right|^{L}}\right) \quad$ subject to $\quad \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{y}$

## Theorem 6

## Theorem 6

Suppose we have a 2-layer linear tensor network and a single data point $(x, y)$.
Consider the compact $\operatorname{SVD} M(x)=U_{1} \operatorname{diag}(s) U_{2}^{T}$, where
$\boldsymbol{U}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times m}, \boldsymbol{U}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\mathbf{2} \times m}}$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ for $m \leq \min \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. Assume that there exists $\lambda>0$ such that the initial directions $\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}$ of the network parameters satisfy $\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{1}\right]_{j}^{2}-\left[\boldsymbol{U}_{2}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{2}\right]_{j}^{2} \geq \lambda$ for all $j \in[\mathrm{~m}]$. Then, gradient flow converges to a global minimizer of the loss $\mathcal{L}$, and $v_{1}(t)$ and $v_{2}(t)$ converge to the limit points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}^{\infty}=\alpha \boldsymbol{U}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{1} \odot \cosh \left(g^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{\alpha^{2}}\right) s\right)+\boldsymbol{U}_{2}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{2} \odot \sinh \left(g^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{\alpha^{2}}\right) s\right)\right)+\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{k_{1}}-\boldsymbol{U}_{1} \boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{T}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{1} \\
& v_{1}^{\infty}=\alpha \boldsymbol{U}_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{T} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{1} \odot \cosh \left(g^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{\alpha^{2}}\right) s\right)+\boldsymbol{U}_{2}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{2} \odot \sinh \left(g^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{\alpha^{2}}\right) s\right)\right)+\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{k_{\mathbf{2}}}-\boldsymbol{U}_{2} \boldsymbol{U}_{2}^{T}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathbf{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g^{-1}$ is the inverse of the following strictly increasing function

$$
g(\nu)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}[s]_{j}\left(\frac{\left[U_{1}^{T} \bar{v}_{1}\right]_{j}^{2}+\left[U_{2}^{T} \bar{v}_{2}\right]_{j}^{2}}{2} \sinh \left(2[s]_{j} \nu\right)+\left[U_{1}^{T} \bar{v}_{1}\right]_{j}\left[U_{2}^{T} \bar{v}_{2}\right]_{j} \cosh \left(2[s]_{j} \nu\right)\right)
$$

The end

## The End



