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Model of fair ranking with noisy attributes

## 1. Ranking problem

- Ranking : given $m$ items, one has to select a subset of n items and output a permutation of the selected items. This permutation is said to be a ranking. We denote rankings by assignment matrices:

$$
R \in\{0,1\}^{m \times n}
$$

where $R_{i j}=1$ indicates that item $i$ appears in position $j$, and $R_{i j}=0$ indicates otherwise.

- Utility : given an $m \times n$ matrix $W$, such that placing the $i$-th item at the $j$-th position generates utility $W_{i j}$.
- The utility of a ranking is the sum of utilities generated by each item in its assigned position. In this notation, the utility of a ranking is

$$
\langle R, W\rangle:=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{i j} W_{i j}
$$

## 1. Ranking problem

- Ranking problem : to solve

$$
\max _{R \in R}\langle R, W\rangle
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of all assignment matrices denoting a ranking:

$$
\mathcal{R}:=\left\{X \in\{0,1\}^{m \times n}: \forall i \in[m], \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j} \leq 1, \forall j \in[n], \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i j}=1\right\}
$$

Here, the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i j}=1$ ensures position j has exactly one item and the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j} \leq 1$ ensures that item i occupies at most one position.

- The algorithmic task in the ranking problem is to output a ranking with the highest utility.


## 2. Fair-ranking problem

- Assumptions : with $p \geq 2$ socially-salient groups $G_{1}, G_{2}, \cdots, G_{p} \subseteq[m]$ (e.g., the group of all women or all Black people) which are often protected by law. Each of the $m$ items belongs to one or more of these socially-salient groups.
- Fair-ranking problem : to output the ranking with maximum utility subject to satisfying certain fairness criteria with respect to these groups.

Definition 3.1 (Fairness constraints). Given a matrix $U \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n \times p}$, a ranking $R$ satisfies the upper bound constraint if $\sum_{i \in G_{\ell}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} R_{i j} \leq U_{k \ell}$, for all $\ell \in[p]$ and $k \in[n]$.

## 3. Ranking problem with noisy attributes

Definition 3.2 (Noise model). Let $P \in[0,1]^{m \times p}$ be a known matrix. The groups $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{p} \subseteq[m]$ are random variables, such that, for each $i \in[m]$ and $\ell \in[p], \operatorname{Pr}\left[G_{\ell} \ni i\right]=P_{i \ell}$. Moreover, for different items $i \neq j$ the events $G_{\ell} \ni i$ and $G_{k} \ni j$ are independent for all $\ell, k \in[p]$.

## 3. Ranking problem with noisy attributes

Definition 3.4 ( $(\epsilon, \delta)$-constraint). For any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \geq 0$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$, a ranking $R$ is said to satisfy $(\epsilon, \delta)$-constraint if with probability at least $1-\delta$ over the draw of $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{p}$,

$$
\forall k \in[n], \forall \ell \in[p], \sum_{i \in G_{\ell}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} R_{i j} \leq U_{k \ell}\left(1+\epsilon_{k}\right) .
$$

Problem 3.5 (Ranking problem with noisy attributes). Given matrices $P, U$, and $W$, find the ranking $R$ such that, for some small $\epsilon$ and $\delta$,

$$
\max _{R \in \mathcal{R}}\langle R, W\rangle \quad \text { s.t. } R \text { satisties }(\epsilon, \delta)-\text { constraint. }
$$

- Note that solving Problem 3.5 is NP-hard.


## Theoretical results

## Optimization framework

- Input: Matrices $P \in[0,1]^{m \times p}, W \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{m \times n}, U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$
- Parameters: Constant $c>1$, failure probability $\delta \in(0,1]$, and $k \in[n]$, relaxation parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}:=12 \cdot \log \left(\frac{2 n p}{\delta}\right) \cdot \max _{\ell \in[p]} \sqrt{\frac{1}{U_{k \ell}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Our Fair-Ranking Program (2) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{R \in \mathcal{R}}\langle R, W\rangle, \quad \text { (Noise Resilient) } \\
& \text { s.t. } \forall \ell \in[p] \quad \forall k \in[n] \\
& \sum_{\substack{i \in[m], j \in[k]}} P_{i \ell} R_{i j} \leq U_{k \ell}\left(1+\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{c}}\right) \gamma_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fairness and utility of optimal solution

Theorem 4.1 Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be as defined in Equation (1). There is an optimization program (Program (2)), parameterized by a constant $c$ and failure probability $\delta$, such that for any $c>1$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ its optimal solution satisfies $(c \gamma, \delta)$-constraint and has a utility at least as large as the utility of any ranking satisfying $((c-\sqrt{c}) \gamma, \delta)$-constraint.

- Note that solving Program (2) is a polynomial complexity.


## Lower bound on fairness guarantee

Theorem 4.2 There is a family of matrices $U \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n \times p}$ such that for any $U$ in the family and any parameters $\delta \in[0,1)$ and $\epsilon_{1}, \cdots, \epsilon_{n} \geq 0$, if for any position $k \in[n], \epsilon_{k} \leq 1$ and $\epsilon_{k}<\max _{\ell \in[p]} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 U_{k e}} \log \frac{1}{4 \delta}}$ then there exists a matrix $P \in[0,1]^{m \times p}$, such that it is information theoretically impossible to output a ranking that satisfies $(\epsilon, \delta)$-constraint.

- Since $\gamma_{k}$ is $O\left(\log \left(\frac{n p}{\delta}\right) \cdot \max _{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{U_{k e}}}\right)$, Theorem 4.2 shows that Theorem 4.1's fairness guarantee is optimal up to log-factors.

