A Fair Generative Model Using LeCam Divergence

March 21, 2024

Seoul National University

1 Contribution

Notation

3 Fairness in generative model





• Generate fair synthetic data via LeCam divergence and unlabelled reference dataset.



2 Notation

3 Fairness in generative model







- \mathcal{X} : Data in \mathbb{R}^{D}
- \mathcal{S} : Set of sensitive attributes





3 Fairness in generative model







• Fair synthetic data satisfies

$$P_G(S=0)=P_G(S=1)$$

where P_{syn} is distribution of synthetic data.

• However train data does not satisfy above condition.

$$P_{bias}(S=0) \neq P_{bias}(S=1)$$





4 Problem



- Suppose that the information of *S* is not available.
- To train fair synthetic data, adopt reference dataset $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{ref}}$ which may satisfy

$$P_{ref}(S=0) \approx P_{ref}(S=1)$$

- Let $\mathcal{D}^{\text{bias}}$ be train data.
- When the number of train data and reference data are m_{bias} and m_{ref} respectively, we assume that

$$m_{bias} \gg m_{ref}$$

• To train fair synthetic data, we optimize generator G by minimizing

 $\min_{G} (1 - \lambda) \cdot D_{f} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{bias}} \| \mathbb{P}_{G} \right) + \lambda \cdot D_{\mathsf{fair}} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}} \| \mathbb{P}_{G} \right)$

where D_f is *f*-divergence and D_{fair} is fair discrepancy.

Contribution

Notation

3 Fairness in generative model

4 Probler



• Propose Lecam divergence based fairness discrepancy

$$\min_{G} (1 - \lambda) \cdot D_{f} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{bias}} \| \mathbb{P}_{G} \right) + \lambda \cdot \mu D_{\Delta} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}} \| \mathbb{P}_{G} \right)$$

where μ denotes a non-negative weight, and $D_{\Delta}(\mathbb{P}_{ref} \| \mathbb{P}_G)$ indicates the LC-divergence between \mathbb{P}_{ref} and \mathbb{P}_G :

$$D_{\Delta}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}} \| \mathbb{P}_{G}\right) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}\left(x\right) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)\right)^{2}}{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}\left(x\right) + \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)}$$

• f-GAN

$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{bias}}} \left[D(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[f^{*}(D(X)) \right]$

where D is discriminator and f^* is conjugate of f.

• fair *f*-GAN

$$\begin{split} & \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{bias}} \left[D(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[f^{*}(D(X)) \right] \\ & \max_{D_{ref}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{ref}} \left[D_{ref} \left(X \right) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D_{ref} \left(X \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2(\mu + \alpha)} R_{\Delta} \\ & \min_{G} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[f^{*}(D(X)) \right] - \lambda \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D_{ref} \left(X \right) \right] \end{split}$$

where α denotes an exponential moving average of D_{ref} v.r.t. reference samples and R_{Δ} indicates a regularization term for D_{ref} defined as:

$$R_{\Delta} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{ref}} \left[\left\| D_{ref} \left(X \right) + \alpha \right\|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_G} \left[\left\| D_{ref} \left(X \right) - \alpha \right\|^2 \right]$$

- Baseline 1 : Unfair method with train and reference data
- Baseline 2 : Unfair method with reference data
- Fairness measure : $\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^{S} (P_{ref}(S=s) P_G(S=s))^2}$

| Reference set size | | 25% | 10% | 5% | 2.5% | 1% |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Baseline I | Intra FID Fairness | $\frac{12.00\pm 0.069}{0.495\pm 0.001}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{12.73} \pm \textbf{0.053} \\ \textbf{0.554} \pm \textbf{0.002} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13.54} \pm \textbf{0.074} \\ \textbf{0.559} \pm \textbf{0.001} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13.79} \pm \textbf{0.072} \\ \textbf{0.566} \pm \textbf{0.002} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15.89} \pm \textbf{0.094} \\ \textbf{0.576} \pm \textbf{0.002} \end{array}$ |
| Baseline II | Intra FID Fairness | $\begin{array}{c} 23.81 \pm 0.118 \\ 0.093 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 32.31 \pm 0.109 \\ 0.115 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$ | $\frac{40.07\pm0.062}{0.120\pm0.003}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 67.70 \pm 0.112 \\ \underline{0.150 \pm 0.003} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 92.34 \pm 0.131 \\ 0.455 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$ |
| Choi et al. (2020) | Intra FID Fairness | $\frac{20.68\pm 0.076}{0.065\pm 0.002}$ | $\frac{25.74\pm0.079}{0.104\pm0.002}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30.15 \pm 0.037 \\ 0.126 \pm 0.001 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30.40 \pm 0.041 \\ 0.237 \pm 0.003 \end{array}$ | $\frac{31.49\pm 0.074}{0.344\pm 0.002}$ |
| Proposed | Intra FID Fairness | $\begin{array}{c} 11.48 \pm 0.814 \\ 0.037 \pm 0.007 \end{array}$ | $\frac{14.50\pm 0.996}{\textbf{0.039}\pm \textbf{0.013}}$ | $\frac{14.64 \pm 0.626}{\textbf{0.118} \pm \textbf{0.007}}$ | $\frac{17.16\pm1.607}{\textbf{0.129}\pm\textbf{0.010}}$ | $\frac{23.11\pm 0.797}{\textbf{0.146}\pm \textbf{0.022}}$ |